

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/871,463	05/31/2001	Charles R. Spinner III	01-P-002 (STMI01-00013)	9805
30425	7590 10/29/2003		EXAM	INER
STMICROELECTRONICS, INC.			WARREN, M	ATTHEW E
MAIL STATION 2346 1310 ELECTRONICS DRIVE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	ON, TX 75006		2815	

DATE MAILED: 10/29/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

2815

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	1.1
P ENotification of Non-Compliance	09/871,463	SPINNER III, E	ET AL.
With 37 CFR 1.192(c)	Examiner	Art Unit	
2 9 2003 5	Matthew E. Warren	2815	
TRADEMANT The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	ppears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspond no	e address
The Appeal Brief filed on <u>03 June 2003</u> is defective fo See MPEP § 1206.	r failure to comply with one	or more provisions o	f 37 CFR 1.192(c).
To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file IN 1.192(c) within the longest of any of the following thre mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer; within the period for reply to the action from which this MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.	e TIME PERIODS: (1) ONE (2) TWO MONTHS from the	MONTH or THIRTY date of the notice of	DAYS from the appeal; or (3)
1.  The brief does not contain the items required heading or in the proper order.	under 37 CFR 1.192(c), or t	he items are not und	ler the proper
2. The brief does not contain a statement of the appealed claims (37 CFR 1.192(c)(3)).	status of all claims, pending	or cancelled, or doe	es not identify the
3. At least one amondment has been filed subsestatement of the status of each such amendment		and the brief does no	ot contain a
4.  The brief does not contain a concise explanat and line number and to the drawing, if any, by	ion of the claimed invention reference characters (37 C	, referring to the spec FR 1.192(c)(5)).	cification by page
5. The brief does not contain a concise statemen	nt of the issues presented fo	or review (37 CFR 1.	192(c)(6)).
6. A single ground of rejection has been applied	to two or more claims in this	s application, and	ECHNI
(a) the brief omits the statement required by together, yet presents arguments in supp	37 CFR 1.192(c)(7) that one ort thereof in the argument s	e or more claims do	not stand or fall
(b) the brief includes the statement required together, yet does not present arguments	by 37 CFR 1.192(c)(7) that one in support thereof in the arg	one or more claims of the	lo not stand or fall e brief.
7. The brief does not present an argument under	a separate heading for each	ı issue on appeal (37 ু	CFR 1.192(c)(8)).
8.  The brief does not contain a correct copy of the	ne appealed claims as an ap	ppendix thereto (37 C	CFR 1.192(c)(9)).
9.  Other (including any explanation in support of	f the above items):		,
The brief contains non-appealable issues. The appear that should not be present in the brief. However, the filed in a separate paper. The appealed claims in claims 1-7 are included in that section. Withdrawn appendix to make the brief compliant. In response requirement, a new restriction is attached to this N to removal of portions of the barrier layer, the inverse product and the claims of 8-20 are viewed as an infinithe letter, the new restriction is proper.	ne arguments against the restrict the appendix section are not concluded the appendix section are not concluded to the letter faxed on March 1 to tice. Based on the comments intion of claims 1-7 are now view termediate device, each being	ction are petitionable is proct because withdraw claims and should be 9, 2003 concerning the that the claim 8 reads wed as a method of me	sues that should be wn or non-elected removed from the prestriction on a structure prior aking the final removed from stated

PE				
, 7		Applica	ation No.	Applicant(s)
6 5 8 MEG	W Office Action Summary	09/871	1,463	SPINNER III, ET AL.
, ch	(S) Office Action Summary	Examir	ner	Art Unit
& TRADEMAR			w E. Warren	2815
Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this communic r Reply	ation appears on	the cover sheet w	ith th correspondence address
THE N - Extenseller S - If the s - If NO - Failur - Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC, sions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communiperiod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) period for reply is specified above, the maximum statue to reply within the set or extended period for reply with received by the Office later than three months afted patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION.  37 CFR 1.136(a). In no nication. days, a reply within the story period will apply and ll. by statute, cause the	event, however, may a statutory minimum of thi d will expire SIX (6) MOI application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	d on <u>19 March 20</u>	<u> </u>	
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b	o) ☐ This action	is non-final.	
3) 🗌 Disposition	Since this application is in condition f closed in accordance with the practic on of Claims	or allowance exc e under <i>Ex parte</i>	cept for formal ma Quayle, 1935 C.	itters, prosecution as to the merits is D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-20 is</u> /are pending in the ap	plication.		
4	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are	withdrawn from	consideration.	
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)	Claim(s) is/are rejected.			7
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			ECH
8)⊠ Applicatio	Claim(s) is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) 1-20 are subject to restriction on Papers  The specification is objected to by the land the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a Applicant may not request that any object he proposed drawing correction filed of the proposed drawing correction filed of the oath or declaration is objected to be onder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for the oath of the control of the c	and/or election	requirement.	GCT GCT
9)[] 1	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.		1
10) 🔲 7	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a	i)□ accepted or b)	objected to by	the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any object	tion to the drawing	g(s) be held in abey	rance. See 37 CFR-1.85(a).
11) 🔲 🏾	The proposed drawing correction filed	on is: a)[_	] approved b) []	disapproved by the Examiner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are requ	ired in reply to this	Office action.	
12) 🗌 🏻	The oath or declaration is objected to b	y the Examiner.		
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	or foreign priority	under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)[	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority d	ocuments have t	peen received.	
	2. Certified copies of the priority d	ocuments have t	peen received in A	Application No
	3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internatee the attached detailed Office action	tional Bureau (Po	CT Rule 17.2(a)).	received in this National Stage
				. § 119(e) (to a provisional application)
a)	The translation of the foreign lang	uage provisional	application has t	peen received.
Attachment	-	aomostio priorit	,	. 33 1== = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =
1) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pap		5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) ee Continuation Sheet

Continuation of Attachment(s) 6). Other: Notice of Defective Appeal Brief.

Application/Control Number: 09/871,463

rt Unit: 2815

## **DETAILED ACTION**

This Office Action is in response to the Request for Reconsideration of the Restriction filed on March 19, 2003.

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 8-20, drawn to an intermediate device, classified in class 257, subclass 773.
- II. Claims 1-7, drawn to a method of making a semiconductor device, classified in class 438, subclass 1+.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediatefinal product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship of the
intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b)),
3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the
instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as a circuit comprising a
conformal tungsten layer and protective barrier layer formed over the substrate and the
inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show
them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species
are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence
now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record
that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions

Application/Control Number: 09/871,463

Art Unit: 2815

anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew E. Warren whose telephone number is (703) 305-0760. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs, and alternating Fri, 9:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eddie Lee can be reached on (703) 308-1690. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/871,463

Art Unit: 2815

Page 4

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

MEW

September 3, 2003

EDDIE LEE

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800